

References

1. Kwong TC, Ryan RM. Detection of intrauterine illicit drug exposure by newborn drug testing. *Clin Chem* 1997;43:235-42.
2. The Office of Applied Studies. Mid-Year 1998 Preliminary Emergency Department Data from the Drug Abuse Warning Network. Dept. Health Human Services Rockville, MD: 1999.
3. McCaig LF. National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 1992 Emergency Department Summary. US Health Human Services, 1994.
4. Litovitz TL, Klein-Schwartz W, Caravati EM, Youniss J, Crouch B, Lee S. 1988 Annual Report of the American Association of Poison Control Centers Toxic Exposure Surveillance System. *Am J Emerg Med* 1999;17:435-87.
5. Durback LF, Scharman EJ, Brown R. Emergency physician's perceptions of drug screens at their own hospitals [abstract]. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* 1996;34:626-7.
6. Jerrard DA. "Designer drugs"—a current perspective. *J Emerg Med* 1990;8:733-41.
7. Rutenber AJ. Stalking the elusive designer drugs: techniques for monitoring new problems in drug abuse. *J Addict Dis* 1991;11:71-87.
8. The Alberta Clinical Practice Guidelines Program. Laboratory Guideline for the Investigation of the Poisoned Patient. <http://www.albertadoctors.org/resources/cpg/toxicology-guideline.pdf>, 1999.
9. Jarvie DR, Simpson D. Drug screening: evaluation of the Toxi-Lab TLC system. *Ann Clin Biochem* 1986;23:76-84.
10. Kalasinsky KS, Schaefer T, Binder SR. Forensic application of an automated drug-profiling system. *J Anal Toxicol* 1995;19:412-8.
11. Brooks KE, Smith NB. Versatile, efficient system for extracting drugs from urine for gas chromatographic/mass spectrometric analysis. *Clin Chem* 1989;35:2100-3.
12. Fitzgerald RL, Rivera JD, Herold DA. Broad spectrum drug identification directly from urine, using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Clin Chem* 1999;45:1224-34.
13. Levine BS, Smith ML. Effects of diphenhydramine on immunoassays of phencyclidine in urine. *Clin Chem* 1990;35:1258.

14. Poklis A, Edinboro LE, Lee JS, Crooks CR. Evaluation of a colloidal metal immunoassay device for the detection of tricyclic antidepressants in urine. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* 1997;35:77-82.
15. ElSohly MA, Salamone SJ. Prevalence of drugs used in cases of alleged sexual assault. *J Anal Toxicol* 1999;23:141-6.
16. McNall M, Remafedi G. Relationship of amphetamine and other substance use to unprotected intercourse among young men who have sex with men. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1999;153:1130-5.
17. Selden BS, Clark RF, Curry SC. Marijuana. *Emerg Med Clin North Am* 1990;8:527-39.
18. Mofenson HC, Caraccio TR. Toxidromes. *Comp Ther* 1985;11:46-52.
19. Nice A, Leikin JB, Maturen A, Madsen-Konczyk LJ, Zell M, Hryhorczuk DO. Toxidrome recognition to improve efficiency of emergency urine drug screens. *Ann Emerg Med* 1988;17:676-80.
20. Bosse GM, Matyunas NJ. Delayed toxidromes. *J Emerg Med* 1999;17:679-90.
21. Raymond RC, Warren M, Morris RW, Leikin JB. Periodicity of presentations of drugs of abuse and overdose in an emergency department. *Clin Toxicol* 1992;30:467-78.
22. Manyike PT, Kharasch ED, Kalhorn TF, Slattery JT. Contribution of CYP2E1 and CYP3A to acetaminophen reactive metabolite formation. *Clin Pharmacol Therap* 2000;67:275-82.
23. Smood J. Acetaminophen. In: Abhababian RV, Emergency medicine. The core curriculum. Lippincott-Raven, Philadelphia, 1998:1010-4.
24. Rumack BH. Acetaminophen overdose in children and adolescents. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 1986;33:691-701.
25. Prescott LF, Roscoe P, Wright N, Brown S. Plasma paracetamol half-life and hepatic necrosis in patients with paracetamol overdose. *Lancet* 1971;1:519-522.
26. Smilkstein MJ, Rumack BH. Elimination half-life ($T_{1/2}$) as a predictor of acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity [abstract]. *Vet Hum Toxicol* 1994; 36:377.
27. Sporer KA, Khayam-Bashi H. Acetaminophen and salicylate serum levels in patients with suicidal ingestion or altered mental status. *Am J Emerg Med* 1996;14:443-6.
28. Ashbourne JF, Olson KR, Khayam-Bashi H. Value of rapid screening for acetaminophen in all patients with intentional drug overdose. *Ann Emerg Med* 1989;18:1035-38.

29. Done AK. Salicylate, pharmacokinetics, and the pediatrician. *Pediatr* 1974;54:670-2.
30. Dugandzic RM, Tierney MG, Dickinson GE, Dolan MC, McKnight DR. Evaluation of the validity of the Done nomogram in the management of acute salicylate intoxication. *Ann Emerg Med* 1989;18:1186-1190.
31. Camara PD, Audette L, Velletri K, Breitenbecher P, Rosner M, Griffiths WC. False-positive immunoassay results for urine benzodiazepine in patients receiving oxaprozin (Daypro). *Clin Chem* 1995;41:115-6.
32. Valdes R Jr., Jortani SA. Unexpected suppression of immunoassay results by cross-reactivity: now a demonstrated cause for concern. *Clin Chem* 2002;in press.
33. Miller JJ, Valdes R. Methods for calculating crossreactivity in immunoassays. *J Clin Immunoassay* 1992;15:97-107.
34. Lee PR, Shahala DE. Changes to the cutoff levels for opiates for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. *Fed Register* 1995;60:575-85.
35. Anderson D, McComb R, Bowers GN, Hill D. Exploring currently used threshold concentrations on drug of abuse testing. [Abstract] *Clin Chem* 1991;37:993.
36. Meatherall R. Benzodiazepine screening using EMIT II[®] and TDx[®]: urine hydrolysis pretreatment required. *J Anal Toxicol* 1994;18:385-90.
37. Simonsson P, Linden A, Lindberg S. Effect of β -glucuronidase on urinary benzodiazepine concentrations determined by fluorescence polarization immunoassay. *Clin Chem* 1995;41:920-3.
38. Meatherall RC, Fraser AD. CEDIA dau benzodiazepine screening assay: a reformulation. *J Anal Toxicol* 1998;22:270-3.
39. Koch TR, Raglin RL, Kirk S, Bruni JF. Improved screening for benzodiazepine metabolites in urine using the Triage Panel for Drugs of Abuse. *J Anal Toxicol* 1994;18:168-72.
40. Stanley S, Jeganathan A, Wood T, Henry P, Turner S, Woods WE, et al. Morphine and etophine: XIV: Detection by ELISA in equine urine. *J Anal Toxicol* 1991;15:305-10.
41. Baden LR, Horowitz G, Jacoby H, Eliopoulos GM. Quinolones and false-positive urine screening for opiates by immunoassay technology. *JAMA* 2001;286:3115-3119.
42. Runciman WB. Sympathomimetic amines. *Anaesth Int Care* 1980;8:289-309.

43. D’Nicuola J, Jones R, Levine B, Smith ML. Evaluation of six commercial amphetamine and methamphetamine immunoassays for cross-reactivity to phenylpropanolamine and ephedrine in urine. *J Anal Toxicol* 1993;16:211-3.
44. Turner GJ, Colbert DL, Chowdry BZ. A broad spectrum immunoassay using fluorescence polarization for the detection of amphetamines in urine. *Ann Clin Biochem* 1991;28:588-94.
45. Wu AHB, Hill DW, Crouch D, Hodnett CN, McCurdy HH. Minimal standards for the performance and interpretation of toxicology tests in legal proceedings. *J Foren Sci* 1999;44:516-22.
46. Forensic Toxicology Laboratory Guidelines. Society of Forensic Toxicologists Inc., Colorado Springs, CO; 2001.
47. Kwong TC, Jenny RW, Jortani SA, Pinder RD. Clinical breath alcohol testing. Proposed quality assurance guidelines. Am Assoc Clin Chem TDM Toxicol Division, 2000.
48. Highway safety programs; conforming products list of screening devices to measure alcohol in bodily fluids. *Fed Regist* 1994;59:61923-4.
49. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards: User Demonstration of Performance for Precision and Accuracy; proposed guideline. NCCLS Document EP-15, Wayne, PA.
50. Gerson B. Alcohol. *Clin Lab Med* 1990;10:355-74.
51. McMartin KE, Ambre JJ, Tephly TR. Methanol poisoning in human subjects. Role for formic acid accumulation in the metabolic acidosis. *Am J Med* 1980;68:414-8.
52. Jacobsen D, Hewlett TP, Webb R, Brown ST, Ordinario AT, McMartin KE. Ethylene glycol intoxication: evaluation of kinetics and crystalluria. *Am J Med* 1988;84:145-52.
53. Porter WH. Ethylene glycol toxicity: the role of serum glycolic acid in hemodialysis. *J Tox Clin Tox* 2001;39:607-15.
54. Brent J, McMartin K, Phillips S, Burkhart KK, Donovan JW, Wells M, Kulig K. Fomepizole for the treatment of ethylene glycol poisoning. Methylpyrazole for Toxic Alcohols Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1999;450:832-8.
55. Burns MJ, Graudins A, Aaron CK, McMartin K, Brent J. Treatment of methanol poison with intravenous 4-methylpyrazole. *Ann Emerg Med* 1997;30:829-32.
56. Jacobsen D, Hewlett TP, Webb R, Brown ST, Ordinario AT, McMartin KE. Ethylene glycol intoxication: evaluation of kinetics and crystalluria. *Am J Med* 1988;84:145-52.

57. Winter ML, Ellis MD, Snodgrass WR. Urine fluorescence using a wood's lamp to detect the antifreeze additive sodium fluorescein: a qualitative adjunctive test in suspected ethylene glycol ingestions. *Ann Emerg Med* 2000;19:663-7.
58. Wallace KL, Suchard JR, Curry SC, Reagan C. Diagnostic use of physicians' detection of urine fluorescence in a simulated ingestion of sodium fluorescein-containing antifreeze. *Ann Emerg Med* 2001; 38(1):49-54.
59. Vinet B. An enzymic assay for the specific determination of methanol in serum. *Clin Chem* 1987;33:2204-8.
60. Ochs ML, Glick MR, Ryder KW, Moorehead WR. Improved method for emergency screening for ethylene glycol in serum. *Clin Chem* 1988;34:1507-8.39.
61. Eder AF, McGrath CM, Dowdy YG, Tomaszewski JE, Rosenberg FM, Wilson RB, Wolf BA, Shaw LM. Ethylene glycol poisoning: toxicokinetic and analytical factors affecting laboratory diagnosis. *Clin Chem* 1998;44:168-77.
62. Wax P, Branton T, Cobaugh D, Kwong T. False positive ethylene glycol determination by enzyme assay in patients with chronic acetaminophen hepatotoxicity [abstract]. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* 1999;37:604
63. Jarvie DR, Simpson D. Simple screening tests for the emergency identification of methanol and ethylene glycol in poisoned patients. *Clin Chem* 1990;36:1957-61.
64. Gennari FJ. Serum osmolality. Uses and limitations. *N Engl J Med* 1984;310:102-5.
65. Hoffman RS, Smilkstein MJ, Howland MA, Goldfrank LR. Osmolal gaps revisited: normal values and limitations. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* 1993;31:81-93.
66. Glaser DS. Utility of the serum osmolal gap in the diagnosis of methanol or ethylene glycol ingestion. *Ann Emerg Med* 1996;27:343-6.
67. Browing RG, Curry SC. Effect of glycol ethers on plasma osmolality. *Hum Exp Toxicol* 1992;11:488-90.
68. Aabakken L, Johansen KS, Rydningen EB, Bredesen JE, Ovrebo S, Jacobsen D. Osmolal and anion gaps in patients admitted to an emergency medicine department. *Human Exp Toxicol* 1994;13:131-4.
69. Inaba H, Hirasawa H, Mizuguchi T. Serum osmolality gap in postoperative patients in intensive care. *Lancet* 1987;8546:1331-5.
70. Braden GL, Strayhorn CH, Germain MJ, Mulhern JG, Skutches CL. Increased osmolal gap in alcoholic acidosis. *Arch Intern Med* 1993;153:2377-80.

71. Warden CR. Alcohols. In: Abhababian RV, Emergency medicine. The core curriculum. Lippincott-Raven, Philadelphia, 1998:1021-3.
72. Christopher MM, Eckfeldt JH, Eaton JW. Propylene glycol ingestion causes D-lactic acidosis. Lab Investig 1990; 62:114-8.
73. Arbour R, Esparis B. Osmolar gap metabolic acidosis in a 60-year-old man treated for hypoxemic respiratory failure. Chest. 2000;118:545-6.
74. Cawley MJ. Short-term lorazepam infusion and concern for propylene glycol toxicity: case ML, Reed MD. Propylene glycol: the safe diluent that continues to cause harm. Pharmacotherapy 1996;16(4):690-3.
75. Glover ML, Reed MD. Propylene glycol: the safe diluent that continues to cause harm. Pharmacother 1996;16:690-3.
76. Legal E. Über eine neue Acetonreaktion und deren Verwendbarkeit zur Harnuntersuchung. Chem Zbl 1883;14:652.
77. Csako G. False positive results for ketone with the drug mesna and other free-sulfhydryl compounds. Clin Chem 1987;33:289-92.
78. Beasley DM, Glass WI. Cyanide poisoning: pathophysiology and treatment recommendations. Occ Med 1998;48:427-31.
79. Vesey CJ, McAllister H, Langford RM. A simple, rapid and sensitive semimicro method for the measurement of cyanide in blood. Ann Clin Biochem 1999;36:755-8.
80. Hall AH and Rumack BH. Cyanide. In: Clinical Management of Poisoning and Drug Overdose (LM Haddad and JF Winchester, Eds.) W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, 1990, page 1103-11.
81. Curry SC, Patrick HC. Lack of evidence for a percent saturation gap in cyanide poisoning. Ann Emerg Med 1991;20:523-8.
82. Johnson RP, Mellors JW. Arteriolization of venous blood gases: a clue to the diagnosis of cyanide poisoning. J Emerg Med 1988;6:401-404.
83. Katona B, Wason S. Superwarfarin poisoning. J Emerg Med 1989;7:627-31.
84. Roper, W.L., Houk, V.N., Falk, H., Binder, S.: Preventing lead poisoning in young children: A statement by the Centers for Disease Control. Atlanta, GA, Centers for Disease Control, 1991. US Department of Health and Human Services publication PB92-155076/HDM.

85. Rolnick SJ, Nordin J, Cherney LM. A comparison of costs of universal versus targeted lead screening for young children. *Environmental Research* 1999;80(1):84-91.
86. Gossel TA and Bricker JD. In: Principles of Clinical Toxicology, 3rd Ed. Raven Press, New York, 1994, page187-8.
87. Tenenbein M, Yatscoff RW. The total iron-binding capacity in iron poisoning. *Am J Dis Child* 1991;145:4537-9.
88. Siff JE, Meldon SW, Tomassoni AJ. Usefulness of the total iron binding capacity in the evaluation and treatment of acute iron overdose. *Ann Emerg Med* 1999;33:73-6.
89. Roberts WL, Smith PT, Martin WJ, Rainey PM. Performance characteristics of three serum iron and total iron-binding capacity methods in acute iron overdose. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1999; 112:657-64.
90. Moyer TP. Toxic Metals. In: *Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry*, 3rd Ed (CA Burtis, ER Ashwoods, Eds.) W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, 1999: 987-9.
91. Young DS. Effects of drugs on clinical laboratory tests. Vol. 1. AACC Press, Washington, DC;2000:3-474.
92. Price RG, Taylor SA, Chivers I, Arce-Tomas M, Crutcher E, Franchini I, et al. Development and validation of new screening tests for nephrotoxic effects. *Human Exp Toxicol* 1996;15(suppl):S10-9
93. <http://www.cdc.gov/nceh/dls/report/> viewed 9/18/01
94. Seidel S, Kreutzer R, Smith D, McNeel S, Gilliss D. Assessment of commercial laboratories performing hair mineral analysis. *JAMA* 2001;285:67-85.
95. Bass DA, Hickok D, Quig D, et al. Trace element analysis in hair: factors determining accuracy, precision, and reliability. *Altern Med Rev* 2001;6(5):472-481. [Portions presented as abstract: Validation of trace metal analysis of hair for measurement by ICP-MS. *Clin Chem* 2001;47(6S):A64 (abstract 207)].
96. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Blood and hair mercury levels in young children and women of childbearing age--United States. *JAMA* 1999;285:1436-7.
97. www.acmt.net Position statement by the American College of Medical Toxicology on Esoteric Testing.
98. Clegg DJ, van Gemert M. Expert panel report of human studies on chlorpyrifos and/or other organophosphate exposures. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 1999;2:257-79.

99. Wilson BW, Sanborn JR, O'Malley MA, Henderson JD, Billitti JR. Monitoring the pesticide-exposed worker. *Occ Med* 1997;12:347-63.
100. Jortani S, Snyder JW, Valdes R Jr. The role of the clinical laboratory in managing chemical or biological terrorism. *Clin Chem* 2000;46:1883-93.
101. Porter WH. Clinical Toxicology. In: Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry, 3rd Ed (CA Burtis, ER Ashwoods, Eds.) W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, 1999: 939-40.
102. Donald JM, Hooper K, Hopenhayn-Rich C. Reproductive and developmental toxicity of toluene: a review. *Environ Health Persp* 1991;94:237-44.
103. Hormes JT, Filley CM, Rosenberg NL. Neurologic sequelae of chronic solvent vapor abuse. *Neurol* 1986;36:697-702.
104. Ikeda M. Exposure to complex mixtures: implications for biological monitoring. *Toxicol Letters* 1995;77:85-91.
105. Broussard L. Inhalants. In: Levine B, Principles of Forensic Toxicology. AACC Press, Washington DC, 1999:346.
106. Christiani DC, Chang SH, Chun BC, Lee WJ. Urinary excretion of hippuric acid after consumption of nonalcoholic beverages. *Int J Occ Environ Health* 2000;6:238-42.
107. Clifford MN, Copeland EL, Bloxsidge JP, Mitchell LA. Hippuric acid as a major excretion product associated with black tea consumption. *Xenobiotica* 2000;30:317-26.
108. Fishbeck WA, Langner RR, Kociba RJ. Elevated urinary phenol levels not related to benzene exposure. *Am Industriail Hygiene Assoc J* 1975;36:820-4.
109. Amorim LC, Alvarez-Leite EM. Determination of o-cresol by gas chromatography and comparison with hippuric acid levels in urine samples of individuals exposed to toluene. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 1997;50:401-7.
110. Angerer J, Schildbach M, Kramer A. S-p-toluymlcapturic acid in the urine of workers exposed to toluene: a new biomarker for toluene exposure. *Arch Toxicol* 1998;72:119-23.
111. Inoue O, Kanno E, Kudo S, Kakizaki M, Kataoka M, Kawai T, Ukai H, Ikeda M. High-pressure liquid chromatographic determination of toluene in urine as a marker of occupational exposure to toluene. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 1998;71:302-8.
112. Qu Q, Melikian AA, Li G, Shore R, Chen L, Cohen B, et al. Validation of biomarkers in humans exposed to benzene: urine metabolites. *Am J Indust Med* 2000;37:522-31.

113. Wright RO, Lewander WJ, Woolf AD. Methemoglobinemia: etiology, pharmacology, and clinical management. *Ann Emerg Med* 1999;34:646-56.
114. Barker SJ, Tremper KK, Hyatt J. Effects of methemoglobinemia on pulse oximetry and mixed venous oximetry. *Anesthesiol* 1989;70:112-7.
115. Nijland R, Jongsma HW, Nijhuis JG, Oeseburg B, Zijlstra WG. Notes on the apparent discordance of pulse oximetry and multi-wavelength haemoglobin photometry. *Acta Anesthesiol Scand* 1995;39: Supp; 107:49-52.
116. Kirlangitis JJ, Middaugh RE, Zablocki A, Rodriques F. False indication of arterial oxygen desaturation and methemoglobinemia following injection of methylene blue in urological surgery. *Mil Med* 1990;155:260-2.

Table 1. Estimated Number of ED Drug Episodes and Drug mentions, January-June, 1998.^a

| Drug or class | % of total ED visits |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Alcohol-in-combination | 0.204 |
| Cocaine | 0.191 |
| Heroin/morphine | 0.086 |
| Analgesics (acetaminophen, aspirin, ibuprofen, propoxyphene, oxycodone, hydrocodone) | 0.108 |
| Benzodiazepines (alprazolam, diazepam, lorazepam, clonazepam, triazolam) | 0.067 |
| Marijuana/hashish | 0.084 |
| Tricyclic antidepressants (amitriptyline, doxepine, imipramine) | 0.011 |
| Barbiturates (phenobarbital, over-the-counter sleep aids) | 0.013 |
| Amphetamines (amphetamine and methamphetamine) | 0.026 |
| Phencyclidine | 0.005 |
| Lithium | 0.004 |
| Lysergic acid diethylamide | 0.004 |

^aFrom the Office of Applied Statistics, the Drug Abuse Warning Network, 1998. Total ED visits: 44,836,000; number of drug episodes: 272,770 (0.61%) , drug mentions: 493,096 (1.1%).

Table 2. Stat Quantitative Serum Toxicology Assays Required to Support an Emergency

Department

Acetaminophen

Lithium

Salicylate

Co-oximetry for oxygen saturation, carboxyhemoglobin and methemoglobin

Theophylline

Valproic acid

Carbamazepine

Digoxin

Phenobarbital (if urine barbiturates positive)

Iron

Transferrin (or unsaturated iron binding capacity assay if transferrin not available)

Ethyl alcohol

Methyl alcohol*

Ethylene glycol*

^aTurnaround time of 1 hours or less.

*More realistic turnaround times for these assays is 2-4 hours. These tests are largely unnecessary in countries where these agents are not widely available.

Table 3. Stat Qualitative Urine Toxicology Assays Required to Support an Emergency

Department^a

Cocaine

Opiates

Barbiturates

Amphetamines^b

Propoxyphene^b

Phencyclidine^b

Tricyclic antidepressants^c

^aIn general, urine toxicology screens such as these have a lower urgency and utility when compared to serum assays. They do not correlate well with clinical effects and suffer from problems with sensitivity and specificity, as discussed in the text. While widely available, these assays (with the exception of that for the cocaine metabolite) require clinical interpretation.

^bNeed for these assays may be based on prevalence of drug use that may vary from region to region. Regular review of drug usage is important.

^cRecommended only if the house staff fully understands the specificity limitations of this assay, i.e., results are used in conjunction with the electrocardiograph to support a clinical suspicion of TCA toxicity, but not in cases where a positive urine drug test is the sole evidence for this suspicion.

Table 4. Essential Operator Procedures for Breath Alcohol Analysis

- Use of test device under manufacturer recommended environmental conditions
- Use of a properly calibrated device
- Verification that the blank and alcohol accuracy (QC) recoveries are within specifications.
- Use of an air check or blank breath test immediately prior to the patient test
- Confirmation of patient identification
- Observation of the patient to ascertain that residual alcohol and foreign objects are cleared from the mouth
- Instruction of patient on proper delivery of a deep-lung sample
- Documentation of test date, time, device, QC result, patient ID and results
- Prompt and accurate reporting of results.

Table 5. Device Specifications and Desirable Attributes for Point-of-Care Breath Alcohol

Analysis

Device specifications

- Accuracy and precision should meet or exceed performance required for intended clinical use
- Prevents false positive results from acetone (up to 0.02% wv).
- Clinically appropriate analytical (reportable range in units of grams alcohol/100 mL of blood)
- Environmental conditions appropriate for operation

Desirable attributes

- Mistake-proof for ease of use by non-laboratory personnel
- Procedural controls that monitor requisite operator steps and specimen delivery
- Function checks that monitor components performance
- Data logging capability
- Printer and/or laboratory information management system interface
- Battery operated devices should have a “low battery” indicator

Figure captions

Fig. 1. The diagnostic outcome of a test that has an accuracy of 99% and is used on a population with a prevalence of 0.1%. Of 10,000 subjects screened, there will be 10 subjects with the drug in question present, and all 10 will have a positive result for the assay (99% accuracy). Of the remaining 9990 drug negative individuals, 100 will have a falsely positive result (99% accuracy). Given these pretest assumptions, only 9% of positive drug screen results will be from an individual who actually has the drug in question present.

Fig. 2. Histogram of serum acetaminophen testing results at Hartford Hospital for 6 months.

Fig. 3. Increasing utilization of toxic alcohols vs. laboratory testing policy at Hartford Hospital. In 1994, chromatographic testing required approval by the toxicologist on-call. In 1996, the enzymatic assays for methanol and ethylene glycols were introduced. In 1998, the consult approval requirement was waived. Although the incidence of positive results have not increased during this period, these steps have led to substantially increased test utilization. (◆) ethylene glycol, (■) methanol.

Fig. 4. Distribution of osmolal gaps from an ED patient population. Used with permission from Hoffman et al. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* 1993;31:81-93.